| | Case 2:13-cv-00308-MJP-RSL Docu | ument 31 | Filed 05/09/13 | Page 1 of 4 | |
|----|---|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--|
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | ZEMBEZIA FILM LTD., | | | | |
| 9 | Plaintiff, | | | | |
| 10 | v. | | e No. C13-0308 | | |
| 11 | DOES 1 - 66, | | DER TO SHOW ASHING SUBPO | | |
| 12 | Defendants. | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | This action was filed on February 18, 2013. It is one of forty-eight | | | | |
| 16 | copyright infringement actions filed during a four month period by attorney Richard J. | | | | |
| 17 | Symmes against approximately 2,323 individual Doe defendants. The sixty-six | | | | |
| 18 | defendants in this case are represented by IP addresses linked to on-line sharing of the | | | | |
| 19 | movie "Adventures in Zambezia" between 12:16 am on October 7, 2012, and 2:32 am on | | | | |
| 20 | October 17, 2012. Although the evidence of internet activity shows that hours, if not | | | | |
| 21 | days, separated each defendant's allegedly infringing conduct, plaintiff alleges that all | | | | |
| 22 | sixty-six defendants participated in a single "swarm" utilizing interactive peer-to-peer file | | | | |
| 23 | transfer technology protocol called a BitTorrent to illegally copy and share "Adventures | | | | |
| 24 | in Zambezia." Plaintiff affirmatively alleges that the "swarm" aspect of the file-sharing | | | | |
| 25 | justifies joinder of these disparate defendants | s in a sing | le lawsuit. | | |

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND QUASHING SUBPOENAS - 1 On February 25, 2013, the Court granted plaintiff's motion to initiate early
 discovery, including the issuance of subpoenas under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 to internet
 service providers, in an attempt to identify each Doe defendant. Only four defendants
 have filed objections to the subpoena. Dkt. # 11, 21, 23, and 28. Despite the fact that
 more than two months have elapsed since discovery was authorized, there is no indication
 that any defendants have been served, nor has plaintiff amended its complaint to identify
 the Doe defendants.

All BitTorrent cases filed in the Western District of Washington have been
referred to the undersigned for pretrial handling. Dkt. # 5. As the full extent of this
assignment has become clear, the Court admits to some concerns regarding both the
appropriateness of joinder and the possibility that the judicial authority of the United
States may be used to wrest improvident settlements from pro se litigants under threat of
huge statutory penalties. The Court is not alone: other judicial officers in the Ninth
Circuit are beset by the same concerns and have taken various paths to mitigate the
potential for abuse. See, e.g., Ingenuity 13 LLC v. John Doe, No. 2:12-cv-9333ODW(JCx) (C.D. Cal. May 6, 2013); Voltage Pictures, LLC v. Does 1-12, No. 2:13-292AA (D. Or. May 4, 2013).

Having reviewed defendants' motions to quash the subpoenas, the remainder of the record in this and related cases, and relevant case law, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

Any and all subpoenas issued in the above-captioned matter are hereby
 QUASHED. Plaintiff shall immediately notify the subpoena recipients that they need not respond.

2. To the extent plaintiff has obtained identifying information regarding one ormore Doe defendants, whether through the service provider, defendant, or another source,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND QUASHING SUBPOENAS - 2 it shall not utilize that information in any way. If plaintiff has already contacted one or
 more of the defendants, it shall file under seal all correspondence or other written
 communications (including emails) sent to defendants and a summary of any oral
 communications. Plaintiff shall refrain from any further oral or written communications
 with defendants unless expressly approved by the Court in advance.

3. Plaintiff shall, within fourteen days of the date of this Order, show cause why the above-captioned matter should not be dismissed as to all defendants other than Doe 1 for improper joinder and/or pursuant to the Court's inherent authority to control its docket.

4. Plaintiff shall, within fourteen days of the date of this Order, provide additional
information regarding (a) Zembezia Film (Pty) Ltd.'s ownership of the copyright at issue
and (b) Zembezia Film (Pty) Ltd.'s direct and indirect members/owners/stakeholders.
Plaintiff shall provide a copy of any and all transfer statements and/or work-for-hire
contracts supporting a determination that Zembezia Film (Pty) Ltd. has standing to pursue
this action. Plaintiff shall also supplement the corporate disclosure statement filed in this
action (Dkt. # 2) by providing the registration information for Zembezia Film (Pty) Ltd.
maintained by the Secretary of State and identifying all members/owners/stakeholders of
Zembezia Film (Pty) Ltd., both direct and indirect, in the form of an organizational tree
that reaches back far enough to reveal all individual members/owners/stakeholders and
publicly-traded corporations.

5. With the exception of the actions specifically set forth in this Order, the abovecaptioned matter is hereby STAYED.

For all of the foregoing reasons, the pending motions to quash (Dkt. # 11,
21, 23, and 28) are GRANTED. The Clerk of Court is directed to place this Order to

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND QUASHING SUBPOENAS - 3

| 1 | Show Cause on the Court's calendar for Friday, May 24, 2013. |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Dated this 9th day of May, 2013. |
| 4 | MATS Casnik |
| 5 | Robert S. Lasnik |
| 6 | United States District Judge |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND QUASHING SUBPOENAS - 4 |